UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/832,738	04/10/2001	Robert W. Corrigan	SLM-05800	3462
31894 OK AMOTO &	7590 07/16/2007 BENEDICTO, LLP		EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 641330			PENG, FRED H	
SAN JOSE, CA	A 95164		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2623	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/16/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/832,738	CORRIGAN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Fred Peng	2623				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status	•					
,	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>14 June 2007</u> .					
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1955 C.D. 11, 455 C.G. 215.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14,19-30 and 35-38</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
· <u> </u>	6) Claim(s) 1-14,19-30 and 35-38 is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
are subject to restriction and/or	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
•						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 06/14/2007 has been entered.

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-14, 19-30 and 35-38 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant argues on page 10 of Remarks that claim limitations of "generating a private key and a corresponding public key within a display apparatus" and "securely storing the private key within the display apparatus such that the private key is inaccessible from outside the display apparatus" are not disclosed or taught by the combination of Morley and Yoshiura.

The Examiner respectfully disagrees with applicant's arguments. Yoshiura does disclose generating a private key and a corresponding public key within a display apparatus (FIG.2, elements 100, 200; Col 11 lines 54-67; Col 12 lines 1-3; purchaser 200 is the display apparatus side generates the private and public keys and send the public key to the provider 100 to encrypt the content). Morley further discloses securely storing the private key within the display apparatus such that the private key is inaccessible from outside the display apparatus (page 22 lines 20-22; page 10 lines 1-4; page 42 lines decryption key is part of decryption function and is housed in secure chassis and is not accessible from outside).

Applicant also argues that Yoshiura teach use of public-private key encryption for purpose of detecting illegal copies and no disclosure or teaching for securely displaying visual data.

Art Unit: 2623

The Examiner also respectfully disagrees. It is notoriously well known in the art that public-private key encryption is widely used for secure data transmission, such as visual data, in addition to illegal copy detection application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 19-21 and 35-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Morley et al (WO 99/59355) in view of Yoshiura et al (US 6,499,105 B1).

Regarding Claims 1 and 19, Morley discloses a system and corresponding method for securely transmitting and displaying visual data (page 6 lines 5-32) comprising:

an encryption apparatus (FIG.2A, element 110) for encrypting the visual data, whereby encrypted visual data is formed (page 9 lines 20-30);

means (FIG.2A, elements 114, 106) for transporting the encrypted visual data from the encryption apparatus to a display facility (page 10 lines 1-12); and

a display apparatus (FIG.2B, element 128A) located at the display facility that receives the encrypted visual data, the display apparatus decrypting the encrypted visual data such that an electronic version of the visual data is maintained within circuit elements that are substantially inaccessible, the display apparatus displaying the visual data as a visual image (page 11 lines 9-15; page 10 lines 1-4; page 45 lines 3-8).

Morley also discloses using a decryption key that resides in the display apparatus for decrypting the visual data (page 21 lines 16-32; page 22 lines 1-26; page 44 lines 13-25) and Application/Control Number: 09/832,738

Art Unit: 2623

securely storing the decryption key within the display apparatus so as to be inaccessible from outside the display apparatus (page 22 lines 20-22; page 10 lines 1-4; page 42 lines decryption key is part of decryption function and is housed in secure chassis and is not accessible from outside), further discloses the encryption apparatus uses encryption keying information for encrypting the visual data (page 21 lines 15-24).

Furthermore, Morley acknowledges that any number of a wide variety of encryption techniques may be used (page 21 lines 15-22). Morley fails to specifically disclose using a public and private key to decrypt the data and being generated within display apparatus and communicating the public key from the display apparatus to an encryption apparatus.

In an analogous art, Yoshiura teaches to use public and private keys for encryption and being generated within display apparatus and communicating the public key from the display apparatus to an encryption apparatus (FIG.2, elements 100, 200; Col 11 lines 54-67; Col 12 lines 1-3; purchaser 200 is the display apparatus side generates the private and public keys and send the public key to the provider 100 to encrypt the content).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Morley with a private and public keys for encryption as taught by Yoshiura so that the data like visual data was more secure and protected during transmission.

Regarding Claims 2 and 20, Morley shows the elements comprise integrated circuits (page 24 lines 13-24, page 39 pages 19-31).

Regarding Claims 3 and 21, Morley shows using a light valve to display the image through a display circuit (page 44 lines 12-20).

Regarding Claim 35, Morley shows a display apparatus for displaying encrypted visual data comprising circuit elements that are inaccessible, the elements comprising a decryption circuit and a display circuit for displaying the visual data, such that an electronic version of the

data is maintained within circuit elements (page 6 lines 5-32, page 9 lines, 20-30, page 10 lines 1-12, page 11 lines 9-15, page 11 lines 9-15).

Morley also discloses the display apparatus uses a decryption key for decrypting the encrypted visual data (page 21 lines 16-32, page 22 lines 1-26, page 44 lines 13-25), wherein the decryption key resides within the display apparatus so as to be inaccessible from outside the display apparatus (page 22 lines 20-22; page 10 lines 1-4; page 42 lines decryption key is part of decryption function and is housed in secure chassis and is not accessible from outside), and wherein the encrypted visual data was previously generated using a encryption key corresponding to the private key (page 21 lines 15-24).

Furthermore, Morley acknowledges that any number of a wide variety of encryption techniques may be used (page 21 lines 15-22). Morley fails to specifically disclose using a public and private key to decrypt the data and being generated within display apparatus and communicating the public key from the display apparatus to an encryption apparatus.

In an analogous art, Yoshiura teaches to use public and private keys for encryption and being generated within display apparatus and communicating the public key from the display apparatus to an encryption apparatus (FIG.2, elements 100, 200; Col 11 lines 54-67, Col 12 lines 1-3, purchaser 200 is the display apparatus side generates the private and public keys and send the public key to the provider 100 to encrypt the content).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Morley with a private and public keys for encryption as taught by Yoshiura so that the data like visual data was more secure and protected during transmission.

Regarding Claim 36, Morley shows using a light valve to display the image through a display circuit (page 44 lines 12-20).

4. Claims 4-14, 22-30, 37 and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Morley et al (WO 99/59335) in view of Kowarz et al (US 2002/0113860).

Application/Control Number: 09/832,738

Art Unit: 2623

Regarding Claim 4, Morley shows a light valve but does not show a diffractive light valve. Kowarz shows using a diffractive light valve (page 1 sections 0002, 0004, 0006, page 3 sections 0033, 0036, page 4 section 0039).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Morley by using a diffractive light valve to provide a brighter, better quality picture to the user.

Regarding Claim 5, Morley shows using a single integrated circuit (fig. 11).

Regarding Claim 6, Morley shows using integrated circuits (fig. 11). Although not specifically stated, since Morley shows a digital transmission and communications system, it is inherent that the visual data is encoded and decoded by digital communications hardware, or circuits.

Regarding Claim 7, Kowarz shows a driver circuit for driving the diffractive light valve (page 4 sections 0039-0041). Morley also shows a driver circuit (page 44 lines 4-30).

Regarding Claim 8, Kowarz shows scanning a line image over a display screen (page 4 section 0039).

Regarding Claim 9, Morley shows a decryption circuit (fig. 11).

Regarding Claim 10, Morley shows that the transporting of the visual data is electronic transmission (page 6 lines 27-32).

Regarding Claim 11, Morley shows a variety of electronic transmission means (page 6 lines 27-32).

Regarding Claim 12, Morley shows physically transporting the visual data on a storage medium (page 39 lines 5-7).

Regarding Claim 13, Morley shows a variety of storage mediums (page 35 lines 22-31, page 36 lines 12-24).

Regarding Claim 14, Morley shows a variety of storage mediums (page 35 lines 22-31, page 36 lines 12-24).

Regarding Claim 22, Morley shows a light valve but does not show a grating light valve. Kowarz shows using a diffractive light valve (page 1 sections 0002, 0004, 0006, page 3 sections 0033, 0036, page 4 section 0039).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Morley by using a diffractive light valve to provide a brighter, better quality picture to the user.

Regarding Claim 23, Morley shows using a single integrated circuit (fig. 11).

Regarding Claim 24, Morley shows using integrated circuits (fig. 11). Although not specifically stated, since Morley shows a digital transmission and communications system, it is inherent that the visual data is encoded and decoded by digital communications hardware, or circuits.

Regarding Claim 25, Kowarz shows scanning a line image over a display screen (page 4 section 0039).

Regarding Claim 26, Morley shows that the transporting of the visual data is electronic transmission (page 6 lines 27-32).

Regarding Claim 27, Morley shows a variety of electronic transmission means (page 6 lines 27-32).

Regarding Claim 28, Morley shows a variety of storage mediums (page 35 lines 22-31, page 36 lines 12-24).

Regarding Claim 29, Morley shows a variety of storage mediums (page 35 lines 22-31, page 36 lines 12-24).

Regarding Claim 30, Morley shows a variety of storage mediums (page 35 lines 22-31, page 36 lines 12-24).

Regarding Claim 37, Morley shows a light valve but does not show a diffractive light valve. Kowarz shows using a diffractive light valve (page 1 sections 0002, 0004, 0006, page 3 sections 0033, 0036, page 4 section 0039).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Morley by using a diffractive light valve to provide a brighter, better quality picture to the user.

Application/Control Number: 09/832,738

Art Unit: 2623

Regarding Claim 38, Morley shows a display apparatus for displaying encrypted visual data comprising a decryption circuit for decrypting the visual data (page 6 lines 5-32, page 9 lines, 20-30, page 10 lines 1-12, page 11 lines 9-15).

Morley shows a light valve but does not show a diffractive light valve. Kowarz shows using a diffractive light valve (page 1 sections 0002, 0004, 0006, page 3 sections 0033, 0036, page 4 section 0039).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Morley by using a diffractive light valve to provide a brighter, better quality picture to the user.

Morley also discloses the display apparatus uses a decryption key for decrypting the encrypted visual data (page 21 lines 16-32, page 22 lines 1-26, page 44 lines 13-25), wherein the decryption key resides within the display apparatus so as to be inaccessible from outside the display apparatus (page 22 lines 20-22; page 10 lines 1-4; page 42 lines decryption key is part of decryption function and is housed in secure chassis and is not accessible from outside), and wherein the encrypted visual data was previously generated using a encryption key corresponding to the private key (page 21 lines 15-24).

Furthermore, Morley acknowledges that any number of a wide variety of encryption techniques may be used (page 21 lines 15-22). Morley fails to specifically disclose using a public and private key to decrypt the data and being generated within display apparatus and communicating the public key from the display apparatus to an encryption apparatus.

In an analogous art, Yoshiura teaches to use public and private keys for encryption and being generated within display apparatus and communicating the public key from the display apparatus to an encryption apparatus (FIG.2, elements 100, 200; Col 11 lines 54-67, Col 12 lines 1-3, purchaser 200 is the display apparatus side generates the private and public keys and send the public key to the provider 100 to encrypt the content).

Application/Control Number: 09/832,738 Page 10

Art Unit: 2623

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was

made to modify Morley with a private and public keys for encryption as taught by Yoshiura so that

the data like visual data was more secure and protected during transmission.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to Fred Peng whose telephone number is (571) 270-1147. The examiner can normally be

reached on Monday-Friday 09:00-18:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Vivek Srivastava can be reached on (571) 272-7304. The fax phone number for the organization where

this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from

either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through

Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC)

at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative

or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-

1000.

Fred Peng Patent Examiner

> VIVEK SRIVASTAVA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600